Poster #:	Poster Title:	Judge's Name:

Nonprofit Leadership Alliance Best Practices in an Alliance Student Association Poster Scoring Rubric

Visual Presentation Points for Visual Presentation	 Excellent visual appeal Appropriate and creative title Creative use of visuals to enhance the information. Easily readable from a distance of four feet. Professional appearance, with no spelling, punctuation, grammar, or other writing errors. Includes at least two references (books or articles). 	 Good visual appeal, somewhat creative. Appropriate title – somewhat creative. Good use of visuals to enhance the information. Readable from a distance of four feet. Professional looking, with few spelling, punctuation, grammar, or other writing errors. Includes at least two references (books or articles). 	 Adequate visual appeal with limited creativity. Title is adequate. Some use of visuals to convey information. Some portions of the poster are not readable from four feet away. Somewhat professional looking, with many spelling, punctuation, grammar, or other writing errors. Includes at least one references (books or articles). 	 Neither visually appealing nor creative. Title provides little understanding of content Little use of visuals to convey information. Difficult to read from four feet away. Not professional looking, with numerous spelling, punctuation, grammar, or other writing errors that detract from readability. Does not include references (books or articles)
	9-10 points	7-8 points	5-6 points	0-4 points
Content Points for Content	 Content is excellent (i.e., goals, objectives, outcomes were well defined and clear, all outcomes measurable) Addresses at least two Alliance competencies. Represents an exemplary single project, activity or event organized by a student group within the Alliance Program. 	 Content is good (i.e., goals, objectives, outcomes are defined and a majority of the outcomes were measurable). At least two or more Alliance Competencies are addressed well. Represents a good single project, activity, or event organized by a student group within the Alliance Program. 	 Content is adequate (i.e., goals, objectives, outcomes are mentioned but not fully discussed). Aat least one or Alliance Competency is addressed. Represents an adequate single project, activity, or event organized by a student group within the Alliance Program. 	 Content is weak (i.e., goals, objectives, and outcomes are not defined). Alliance Competencies were not addressed well or not addressed at all. Represents a weak project, activity, or event that may or may not have been organized by a student group within the Alliance Program.
	9-10 points	7-8 points	5-6 points	0-4 points

Nonprofit Leadership Alliance Best Practices in an Alliance Student Organization Poster Scoring Rubric (Page 2)

Demonstration of Student Learning Outcomes (Analysis) Points for Analysis	 Student learning outcomes are clearly demonstrated for each Alliance Competency area identified. The conclusions are well supported by the project outcomes. The activity was well designed and clearly relates to the learning outcomes. 	 Student learning outcomes are somewhat demonstrated for each Alliance Competency area identified. The conclusions are supported by the project outcomes. The activity designed relates to the learning outcomes. But there are still some problems associated with the activity. 	 Student learning outcomes are adequately demonstrated for one or two Alliance Competency areas identified. The conclusions are somewhat supported by the project outcomes. The activity somewhat relates to the learning outcomes. There are some problems with the activity that it does not fully yield desired 	 Analysis is poor with little progression of ideas, purpose, methods, and results/outcomes but not logically connected. The conclusions are not supported by study results/experiences.
	9-10 points	7-8 points	outcomes. 5-6 points	0-4 points
Collaboration & Impact Points for Collaboration & Impact	 The activity addresses exemplary collaborative efforts through campus and/or community networking. The role of the collaborating partners is clearly demonstrated. The poster clearly displays the overall impact of the activity and how it fits within the goals of the Student Association. 	The activity addresses collaborative efforts through campus and/or community networking. The role of the collaborating partners is somewhat demonstrated. The poster displays the overall impact but only highlights one or two goals as evidence to how it fits within the Student Association.	 The activity adequately addresses collaborative efforts through campus and/or community networking. The role of the collaborating partners may be weak, or not very specific. The poster adequately displays impact of the activity on the Student Association, but does not speak to how it fits within Student Association goals. 	 The activity does not address collaborative efforts through campus and/or community networking. The poster does not display impact of the activity and how it fits within the Student Association goals.
	9-10 points	7-8 points	5-6 points	0-4 points

Oral Presentation Points for Oral Presentation	 Speaker provided excellent synopsis of the project. Each area was clearly presented and the presenter was knowledgeable. The synopsis was neither too long nor too short. The speaker was able to answer any questions in a clear, understandable way. 	 Speaker provided a good synopsis of the project. The synopsis was about the right length. The speaker was able to answer any questions. 	 Speaker provided an adequate synopsis of the project. The synopsis was a little too long or a little too short. The speaker was mostly able to answer questions; might be a little unclear in places. 	 Speaker did not provide a clear synopsis of the project. The synopsis was very long or very short. The speaker was not able to adequately answer questions about the project.
	9-10 points	7-8 points	5-6 points	0-4 points

Total Points:	out of 50	Notes/Comments:	
---------------	-----------	-----------------	--