Poster #:	Poster Title:	Judge's Name:
-----------	---------------	---------------

Nonprofit Leadership Alliance Graduate Research Poster Scoring Rubric

Visual Presentation Points for Visual Presentation	 Excellent visual appeal Appropriate and creative title Creative use of visuals to enhance the information. Easily readable from a distance of four feet. Professional appearance, with no spelling, punctuation, grammar, or 	 Good visual appeal, somewhat creative. Appropriate title – somewhat creative. Good use of visuals to enhance the information. Readable from a distance of four feet. Professional looking, with few spelling, punctuation, 	 Adequate visual appeal with limited creativity. Title is adequate. Some use of visuals to convey information. Some portions of the poster are not readable from four feet away. Somewhat professional looking, with many spelling, 	 Neither visually appealing nor creative. Title provides little understanding of content Little use of visuals to convey information. Difficult to read from four feet away. Not professional looking, with numerous spelling,
	other writing errors. 9-10 points	grammar, or other writing errors. 7-8 points	punctuation, grammar, or other writing errors. 5-6 points	punctuation, grammar, or other writing errors that detract from readability. 0-4 points
ContentPoints for Content	 Content is excellent; Represents an innovative graduate research project. At least one Alliance Competencies is addressed well. A minimum of ten (10) books and/or peer reviewed journal articles were expertly used to frame the research question or project. 	 Content is good; Represents a good graduate research project. At least one Alliance Competency is addressed. A minimum of ten (5) books and/or peer reviewed journal articles were used well to frame the research question or project. 	 Content is adequate; Represents an adequate graduate research project. At least one Alliance Competencies is addressed adequately. Ten (10) or fewer books and/or peer-reviewed journal articles were used but the literature tangentially supported the research question or project. 	 The content is poor; Represents a poor graduate research project. Alliance Competencies were not addressed well or not addressed at all. Five (5) or fewer books and/or peer reviewed journal articles were used to support the research question or project.
	9-10 points	7-8 points	5-6 points	0-4 points

Nonprofit Leadership Alliance Graduate Research Poster Scoring Rubric (Page 2)

Analysis Points for Analysis	 Analysis is excellent with a very logical progression of ideas, purpose, methods, and results/outcomes. Conclusions are well supported by study results/experiences. 	 Analysis is good with a solid progression of ideas, purpose, methods, and results/outcomes. Conclusions are supported by study results/experiences. 	 Analysis is adequate with some progression of ideas, purpose, methods, and results/outcomes but not always logically connected. Conclusions are somewhat supported by study results/experiences. 	 Analysis is poor with little progression of ideas, purpose, methods, and results/outcomes but not logically connected. The conclusions are not supported by study results/experiences.
	9-10 points	7-8 points	5-6 points	0-4 points
Significance Points for Content	 The study/project contributes to a knowledge gap and/or organizational need. Explains implications for research and practice. 	 The study/project somewhat contributes to a knowledge gap and/or somewhat fulfills organizational need. Limited explanation implications for research and practice. 	 The study/project confirms what we already know and/or does fulfill an organizational need. Limited or no attention to implications for research and practice. 	 The study/project does not contribute to a knowledge gap and/or does not fulfill an organizational need. Limited or no attention to implications for research and practice.
	9-10 points	7-8 points	5-6 points	0-4 points
Oral Presentation Points for Oral Presentation	 Speaker provided excellent synopsis of the project. Each area was clearly presented and the presenter was knowledgeable. The synopsis was neither too long nor too short. The speaker was able to answer any questions in a clear, understandable way. 	 Speaker provided a good synopsis of the project. The synopsis was about the right length. The speaker was able to answer any questions. 	 Speaker provided an adequate synopsis of the project. The synopsis was a little too long or a little too short. The speaker was mostly able to answer questions; might be a little unclear in places. 	 Speaker did not provide a clear synopsis of the project. The synopsis was very long or very short. The speaker was not able to adequately answer questions about the project.
	9-10 points	7-8 points	5-6 points	0-4 points

Total Points:		out	of	50	
----------------------	--	-----	----	-----------	--

Notes/Comments: