Poster Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

## Nonprofit Leadership Alliance Undergraduate Research Poster Scoring Rubric

Visual Presentation Points for Visual Presentation	<ul> <li>Excellent visual appeal</li> <li>Creative use of visuals to enhance the information.</li> <li>Easily readable from a distance of four feet.</li> <li>Professional appearance, with no spelling, punctuation, grammar, or other writing errors.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Good visual appeal, showing some creativity.</li> <li>Good use of visuals to enhance the information.</li> <li>Readable from a distance of four feet.</li> <li>Professional looking, with few spelling, punctuation, grammar, or other writing errors.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adequate visual appeal with limited creativity.</li> <li>Some use of visuals to convey information.</li> <li>Some portions of the poster are not readable from four feet away.</li> <li>Somewhat professional looking, with many spelling, punctuation, grammar, or other writing errors.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Neither visually appealing nor creative.</li> <li>Little use of visuals to convey information.</li> <li>Difficult to read from four feet away.</li> <li>Not professional looking, with numerous spelling, punctuation, grammar, or other writing errors that detract from readability.</li> </ul>
Content Points for Content	<ul> <li>9-10 points</li> <li>The content is excellent; the project seems to represent an innovative undergraduate research project.</li> <li>At least one or more Alliance Competencies is addressed well.</li> <li>A minimum of five (5) books and/or peer reviewed journal articles were expertly used to frame the research question or project.</li> <li>9-10 points</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7-8 points</li> <li>The content is good; the project seems to represent a good undergraduate research project.</li> <li>At least one or more Alliance Competencies is addressed.</li> <li>A minimum of five (5) books and/or peer reviewed journal articles were used well to frame the research question or project.</li> <li>7-8 points</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5-6 points</li> <li>The content is adequate; the project seems to represent an adequate undergraduate research project.</li> <li>At least one or Alliance Competencies is addressed adequately.</li> <li>Five (5) or fewer books and/or peer-reviewed journal articles were used but the literature tangentially supported the research question or project.</li> <li>5-6 points</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0-4 points</li> <li>The content is poor; the project seems to represent a poor undergraduate research project.</li> <li>Alliance Competencies were not addressed well or not addressed at all.</li> <li>Three (3) or fewer books and/or peer reviewed journal articles were used to support the research question or project.</li> <li>0-4 points</li> </ul>

## Nonprofit Leadership Alliance Undergraduate Research Poster Scoring Rubric (Page 2)

Analysis Points for Analysis	<ul> <li>The analysis is excellent; there is a very logical progression of ideas, purpose, methods, and results/outcomes.</li> <li>The conclusions are well supported by study results/experiences.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The analysis is good; there is progression of ideas, purpose, methods, and results/outcomes.</li> <li>The conclusions are supported by study results/experiences.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The analysis is adequate; there is some progression of ideas, purpose, methods, and results/outcomes but not always logically connected.</li> <li>The conclusions are somewhat supported by study results/experiences.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Speaker does not provide a clear synopsis of the project.</li> <li>The synopsis is very long or very short. The conclusions are not supported by study results/experiences.</li> </ul>
	9-10 points	7-8 points	5-6 points	0-4 points
Significance Points for Content	<ul> <li>The study/project contributes to a knowledge gap and/or organizational need.</li> <li>Explains implications for research and practice.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The study/project somewhat contributes to a knowledge gap and/or somewhat fulfills organizational need.</li> <li>Limited explanation implications for research and practice.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The study/project confirms what we already know and/or does fulfill an organizational need.</li> <li>Limited or no attention to implications for research and practice.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The study/project does not contribute to a knowledge gap and/or does not fulfill an organizational need.</li> <li>Limited or no attention to implications for research and practice.</li> </ul>
	9-10 points	7-8 points	5-6 points	0-4 points
Oral Presentation Points for Oral Presentation	<ul> <li>Speaker gives an excellent synopsis of the project. Each area is clearly presented and the presenter is knowledgeable.</li> <li>The synopsis is neither too long nor too short.</li> <li>The speaker is able to answer any questions in a clear, understandable way.</li> <li>0 10 events</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Speaker gives a good synopsis of the project. Some of the areas are presented and the presenter is knowledgeable of some of the material.</li> <li>The synopsis is about the right length.</li> <li>The speaker is able to answer any questions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Speaker gives an adequate synopsis of the project.</li> <li>The synopsis is a little too long or a little too short.</li> <li>The speaker is mostly able to answer questions; might be a little unclear in places.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Speaker does not provide a clear synopsis of the project.</li> <li>The synopsis is very long or very short.</li> <li>The speaker is not able to adequately answer questions about the project.</li> </ul>
	9-10 points	7-8 points	5-6 points	0-4 points

Total Points: \_\_\_\_\_ out of 50

Notes/Comments: